

REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected the application as having more than one patentably distinct invention and is requiring an election of an embodiment for prosecution. The Applicant is electing Group III (claims 23-33) for prosecution without prejudice to filing Groups I, II, IV and V as a divisional applications. Applicant, in accord with the Examiners suggestion, cancels claims 1-22 and 34-55.

Conclusion

Applicant believes he has addressed and responded to every point raised in the Examiner's present action. For the reasons stated above, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of his application.

Respectfully submitted,

/Anthony D. Logan/

Date: 4 May 2009

Anthony D. Logan, Reg. No. 41,162
Venable, Campillo, Logan & Meaney, P.C.
Attorneys for Applicant
1938 E. Osborn Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85016
(602) 631-9100